

**Remarks on Talagrand's deviation inequality for
Rademacher functions**

William B. Johnson*

Department of Mathematics
Texas A&M University
College Station, TX 77843

and

Gideon Schechtman
Department of Mathematics
Texas A&M University
Department of Theoretical Mathematics
The Weizmann Institute of Science
Rehovot, Israel

Introduction.

Recently Talagrand [T] estimated the deviation of a function on $\{0, 1\}^n$ from its median in terms of the Lipschitz constant of a convex extension of f to ℓ_2^n ; namely, he proved that

$$P(|f - M_f| > c) \leq 4e^{-t^2/4\sigma^2}$$

where σ is the Lipschitz constant of the extension of f and P is the natural probability on $\{0, 1\}^n$.

Here we extend this inequality to more general product probability spaces; in particular, we prove the same inequality for $\{0, 1\}^n$ with the product measure $((1 - \eta)\delta_0 + \eta\delta_1)^n$. We believe this should be useful in proofs involving random selections. As an illustration of possible applications we give a simple proof (though not with the right dependence on ε) of the Bourgain, Lindenstrauss, Milman result [BLM] that for $1 \leq r < s \leq 2$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, every n -dimensional subspace of L_s $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -embeds into ℓ_r^N with $N = c(r, s, \varepsilon)n$.

The main results.

For $i = 1, \dots, n$ let $(X_i, \|\cdot\|_i)$, be normed spaces, let Ω_i be a finite subset of X_i with diameter at most one and let P_i be a probability measure on Ω_i . Define

$$X = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \oplus X_i \right)_2$$

and

$$\Omega = \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \times \cdots \times \Omega_n \subset X$$

and let

* Supported in part by NSF DMS-8703815.

$$P = P^{(n)} = P_1 \times P_2 \times \cdots \times P_n$$

be the product probability measure on Ω . For a subset $A \subseteq \Omega$ and $t \in \Omega$ let

$$\phi_A(t) = d(t, \text{conv } A)$$

be the distance in X from t to the convex hull of the set A .

Theorem 1. $\mathbf{E} e^{\frac{1}{4}\phi_A^2(t)} \leq \frac{1}{P(A)}.$

Remark 2: Talagrand's theorem is the special case of Theorem 1 when each Ω_i consists of two points and P_i gives weight $\frac{1}{2}$ to each of them. In the application below we use two point spaces for each Ω_i , but P_i does not assign the same mass to both points.

Proof: We repeat Talagrand's induction argument [T]; the difference is only on the calculus level. For $n = 1$

$$\mathbf{E} e^{\frac{1}{4}\phi_A^2(t)} \leq P(A) + (1 - P(A))e^{\frac{1}{4}} \leq \frac{1}{P(A)},$$

as the maximal value of $r(r + (1 - r)e^{\frac{1}{4}})$ for $0 \leq r \leq 1$ is 1. Assume the theorem holds for n and suppose that

$$A \subseteq \Omega_1 \times \cdots \times \Omega_n \times \Omega_{n+1}.$$

Set, for $w \in \Omega_{n+1}$,

$$A_w = \{t \in \Omega_1 \times \cdots \times \Omega_n : (t, w) \in A\},$$

where for $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \Omega_1 \times \cdots \times \Omega_n$ and $w \in \Omega_{n+1}$, (t, w) denotes $(t_1, \dots, t_n, w)$. Let $v \in \Omega_{n+1}$ be such that

$$P^{(n)}(A_v) = \max_{w \in \Omega_{n+1}} P^{(n)}(A_w).$$

We shall use the following two inequalities:

$$(1) \quad \phi_A^2(t, v) \leq \phi_{A_v}^2(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in \Omega_1 \times \cdots \times \Omega_n$$

$$(2) \quad \phi_A^2(t, w) \leq \inf_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} [\alpha \phi_{A_w}^2(t) + (1 - \alpha) \phi_{A_v}^2(t) + (1 - \alpha)^2]$$

for all $t \in \Omega_1 \times \cdots \times \Omega_n$ and for $w \neq v$.

Using (1) and (2), Hölder's inequality and the induction hypothesis (in this order) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(3) \quad \mathbf{E}e^{\frac{1}{4}\phi_A^2(s)} &= P_{n+1}\{v\}\mathbf{E}e^{\frac{1}{4}\phi_{A_v}^2(t)} + \sum_{w \neq v} P_{n+1}\{w\} \inf_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} \mathbf{E} \left(e^{\frac{1}{4}\phi_{A_w}^2(t)} \right)^\alpha \left(e^{\frac{1}{4}\phi_{A_v}^2(t)} \right)^{1-\alpha} e^{\frac{1}{4}(1-\alpha)^2} \\
&\leq P_{n+1}\{v\} \frac{1}{P^{(n)}(A_v)} + \sum_{w \neq v} P_{n+1}\{w\} \inf_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} \left(\frac{1}{P^{(n)}(A_w)} \right)^\alpha \left(\frac{1}{P^{(n)}(A_v)} \right)^{1-\alpha} e^{\frac{1}{4}(1-\alpha)^2} \\
&= \frac{1}{P^{(n)}(A_v)} \left[P_{n+1}(v) + \sum_{w \neq v} P_{n+1}(w) \inf_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} \left(\frac{P^{(n)}(A_v)}{P^{(n)}(A_w)} \right)^\alpha e^{\frac{1}{4}(1-\alpha)^2} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

For $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, let $\alpha(\lambda)$ be the point where $\min_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} \frac{1}{\lambda^\alpha} e^{\frac{1}{4}(1-\alpha)^2}$ is attained; i.e.,

$$\alpha(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1 + 2 \log \lambda & \text{if } 2 \log \lambda > -1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and set

$$g(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda^{\alpha(\lambda)}} e^{\frac{1}{4}(1-\alpha(\lambda))^2} = \begin{cases} e^{-\log \lambda - (\log \lambda)^2} & \text{if } 2 \log \lambda > -1 \\ e^{\frac{1}{4}} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

From (3) we get

$$(4) \quad \mathbf{E}e^{\frac{1}{4}\phi_A^2(s)} \leq \frac{1}{P^{(n)}(A_v)} \left[P_{n+1}(v) + \sum_{w \neq v} P_{n+1}(w) g(\lambda_w) \right]$$

where

$$\lambda_w = \frac{P^{(n)}(A_w)}{P^{(n)}(A_v)}.$$

Claim: $g(\lambda) \leq 2 - \lambda$ for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$.

Using the claim we get from (4) that

$$(5) \quad \mathbf{E}e^{\frac{1}{4}\phi_A^2(s)} \leq \frac{1}{P^{(n)}(A_v)} (q + (1-q)(2-t))$$

where $q = P_{n+1}(v)$ and $t = \frac{P(A) - P_{n+1}(v)P^n(A_v)}{(1 - P_{n+1}(v))P^n(A_v)}$ (note that $0 \leq q, t \leq 1$). As

$$(6) \quad \frac{1}{P(A)} = \frac{1}{P^{(n)}(A_v)} \frac{1}{q + (1-q)t},$$

it suffices to prove that

$$(7) \quad q + (1 - q)(2 - t) \leq \frac{1}{q + (1 - q)t}$$

for all $0 \leq q, t \leq 1$, which is easily checked.

The proof of the claim is elementary: Let

$$f(\lambda) = g(\lambda) + \lambda - 2.$$

Then $f(1) = f'(1) = 0$ and $f''(\lambda) \leq 0$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, which implies that $f(\lambda) \leq 0$ for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$.

Remark 3: Let $2 < p < \infty$ and consider Ω as a subset of $(\sum_{i=1}^n \oplus X_i)_p$. Set

$$\phi_{A,p}(t) = \inf \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|t_i - s_i\|_i^p \right)^{1/p}; s = (s_1, \dots, s_n) \in \text{conv } A \right\}.$$

Then, as pointed out by Talagrand, we also get

$$\mathbf{E} e^{\frac{1}{4} \phi_{A,p}^p(t)} \leq \frac{1}{P(A)}$$

because $\phi_{A,p}^p(t) \leq \phi_A^2(t)$.

Corollary 4. Let $2 \leq p < \infty$ and let f be a real convex function on $(\sum_{i=1}^n \oplus X_i)_p$ (it suffices to assume that f is defined on $\text{conv } \Omega$). Let σ_p be the Lipschitz constant of f . Then, for all $c > 0$,

$$(8) \quad P(|f - M_f| > c) \leq 4e^{-c^p/4\sigma_p^p}$$

where M_f is the median of f . A similar inequality (with absolute constants replacing the two fours) holds with expectation replacing the median:

$$(9) \quad P(|f - \mathbf{E}f| > c) \leq Ke^{-\delta c^p/\sigma_p^p}.$$

(One can take $K = 8, \delta = \frac{1}{32}$).

The proof of the first assertion is identical to the proof of Theorem 3 in Talagrand's paper [T]. The second assertion follows from the first; see [MS], p. 142.

Remark 5: Inequality (9) easily extends to the more general setting where each P_i is a Radon probability on B_{X_i} .

Remark 6: In inequalities (8) and (9) the left hand side involves only the values of f on Ω while the right hand side involves, through σ_p , the values of f on $\text{conv } \Omega$. Thus one can replace σ_p by

the infimum of the Lipschitz constants of all convex extensions of $f|_{\Omega}$ to $\text{conv } \Omega$. We do not know how to compute this infimum even in the original setup of Talagrand's theorem where each Ω_i is a two point set.

An application.

Lemma 7. *Let μ be a probability measure on $\{1, \dots, N\}$. Let $0 < r < s \leq 2r$ and let X be an n -dimensional subspace of $L_r(\{1, \dots, N\}, \mu)$ such that $\|x\|_s \leq K\|x\|_r$ for all $x \in X$ ($\|\cdot\|_s$ denotes the $L_s(\{1, \dots, N\}, \mu)$ norm). Assume moreover that $\mu(i) \leq \frac{2}{N}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq N$. Then, for all $1 > \varepsilon > 0$ and all $k \geq c\varepsilon^{-r}r^{1/p}(\log \frac{2}{\varepsilon})^{1/p}K^r n^{1/p}N^{1/q}$, where $q = \frac{s}{r}$, $p = \frac{q}{q-1}$, there exists a subset $A \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}$ of cardinality k such that the restriction to A is a multiple of a $(1+\varepsilon)$ -isomorphism on X . In particular, X $(1+\varepsilon)$ -embeds into ℓ_r^k .*

Proof: Let $\delta_i, i = 1, \dots, N$, be independent mean δ 0,1-valued random variables. Fix $x \in X, \|x\|_r = 1$ and define $f : \mathbf{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by

$$f((a_i)_{i=1}^N) = \sum_{i=1}^N a_i \mu(i) |x(i)|^r.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_p(f) &= \sup_{\sum_{i=1}^N |a_i|^p = 1} \sum_{i=1}^N a_i \mu(i) |x(i)|^r \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \mu(i)^q |x(i)|^s \right)^{1/q} \leq \left(\frac{2}{N} \right)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \mu(i) |x(i)|^s \right)^{\frac{r}{s}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{2}{N} \right)^{1/p} K^r. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Corollary 4 that

$$(10) \quad P\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i \mu(i) |x(i)|^r - \delta\right| > c\right) \leq 4e^{-c^p N/8K^{rp}}$$

and, consequently, using the usual estimate on the size of an ε -net in ∂B_X ; cf. [MS] p. 7, that

$$P\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_i \mu(i) |x(i)|^r - \delta\right| \leq \varepsilon^r \delta \text{ for all } x \in \partial B_X\right) \geq 1 - 4 \exp\left(nr \log \frac{2}{\varepsilon} - \varepsilon^{rp} \delta^p N/8K^{rp}\right).$$

Set $k = 2\delta N$ (= twice the average size of $\{i; \delta_i = 1\}$). Then, for $\eta = c\varepsilon^{rp} \left(r \log \frac{2}{\varepsilon}\right)^{-1}$ (c universal) and $n \leq \eta \delta^p N/K^{rp}$, the probability above is larger than $\frac{1}{2}$, so we can find a set of cardinality k

which satisfies the requirement. Eliminating δ from the two equations $k = 2\delta N$ and $n = \eta\delta^p N/K^{rp}$ we get

$$k \approx 2\eta^{-1/p} n^{1/p} N^{1/q} K^r.$$

Theorem 8. [BLM]: *Let $0 < r < t \leq 2$ and let $T, \varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists a constant $C = C(\varepsilon, T, r, t)$ such that any n dimensional subspace X of L_r with type t constant $K, (1 + \varepsilon)$ -embeds into ℓ_r^N with $N \leq C \cdot n$.*

Proof: We may assume that $X \subseteq L_r^M$ for some finite M . By the Maurey-Nikishin-Rosenthal factorization theorem ([M] Theorem 8 and Proposition 44), there exists a probability measure μ on $\{1, \dots, M\}$ such that $\|x\|_s \leq K\|x\|_r$ for all $x \in X$ where $s = \frac{r+t}{2}$ and K depends on r, t and T only. Splitting the large atoms of μ into ones with measure $\leq \frac{1}{M}$ we get a new probability measure $\bar{\mu}$ on $\{1, \dots, N\}$ with $N \leq 2M$ and $\bar{\mu}(i) \leq \frac{1}{M} \leq \frac{2}{N}$. $L_u(\mu)$ embeds isometrically (as a sublattice) into $L_u(\bar{\mu})$ for all u and the inequality $\|x\|_s \leq K\|x\|_r$ for all $x \in X$ (in the new embedding) stays true. Applying Lemma 7 we get that X $(1 + \delta)$ -embeds into L_r^k where k is of order $n^{1/p} M^{1/q}$.

Using the Maurey-Nikishin-Rosenthal theorem again we may repeat the argument to get that X $(1 + \delta)^2$ -embeds into L_r^k for k of order $n^{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{pq}} M^{\frac{1}{q^2}}$. Iterating one gets the result. This part is the same as in [BLM]. (One should be more careful than we have been above, taking the exact form of k into account, but it works.)

Remark 9: Both B. Maurey and M. Talagrand pointed out to us that versions of inequality (10) follow from known inequalities; in particular, (10) is an immediate consequence of the Azuma-Pisier inequality (see p. 45 in [MS]) except that the exponent on the right side of (10) must be multiplied by a constant δ_p which tends to infinity with p . Since the degeneracy of this constant is unimportant for proving Theorem 8, we in fact do not have a good application of (our slight generalization of) Talagrand's isoperimetric inequality. On the other hand, it is possible that the approach outlined above can be used for general subspaces of L_r , in which case one expects to use a version of Lemma 7 with "s" close to "r", which forces "p" to infinity.

References

- [BLM] J. Bourgain, J. Lindenstrauss and V. Milman, Approximation of zonoids by zonotopes, Acta Math., to appear.
- [M] B. Maurey, Théorèmes de Factorisation pour les Opérateurs à Valeurs dans un Espace L^p , Astérisque Soc. Math. France, n° 11 (1974).
- [MS] V. Milman and G. Schechtman, Asymptotic Theory of Finite Dimensional Normed Spaces,

Lecture Notes in Math. Vol 1200, Springer (1986).

- [T] M. Talagrand, An isoperimetric theorem on the cube and the Khinchine-Kahane inequalities,
Proc. AMS (to appear).